IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.5702 of 2007 (O&M)
Date of decision:04.05.2009

Daljit Singh Chopra and others .....Petitioners

Versus

Harblas ....Respondent

2. Civil Revision No.6234 of 2007 (O&M)

Daljit Singh Chopra and others .....Petitioners

Versus

Surinder Singh ....Respondent

Present: Mr. Sanjay Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate
for the respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K.KANNAN, J. (ORAL)

1. It is stated by learned counsel for the respondent that the objection filed by the revision petitioner invoking Section 13-B of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 was, after the permission for leave to defend, taken up by the Rent Controller and it is presently at the stage of arguments. Learned counsel for the petitioner contests the correctness of the statement and contends that the trial is in progress.

2. At the stage when the notice was ordered, no stay of the

proceedings had been made and consequently, the tenant has been granted permission to file the statement and it would be unnecessary at this stage to enter into a controversy whether the tenant is entitled to plead that the petitioner is a specified landlord or not. The matter that will invariably be considered before the Rent Controller need not considered now by this Court. I deem it appropriate to direct the Rent Controller to dispose of the petition, which is pending and having regard to the fact that the petition was filed even in the year 2003, the case may be disposed of within a period of three months from the date of receipt of this order. It is hereby clarified that the rival contentions of parties are not being undertaken nor decided on merits and the parties will be at liberty to seek for adjudication on every issue that was urged in the grounds of revision before this Court.

3. The civil revision petition is disposed of in the above terms.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

May 04, 2009
Pankaj*